**Results of programme feasibility – for participants**

**Facilitator –** AHP

**Participant roles –** Nurse, Physio, Healthcare Assistant x4

**How workable was the programme?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| What did you think about the length of the programme? | Fine, about right |
| How disruptive was the programme to your normal clinical work? | Notes time so afternoon better than new patient time in the morning  Notes – Some in own time – some delayed til morning |
| Do you think that the two half-day format was beneficial? | Better than 1 day – able to do case study – case study good as a discussion rather than handing in |
| What was good about the programme | Thought provoking  Chance to network and integrate  Time together  Healthcare professionals felt valued – make a cuppa |
| What could be improved for the future? | Practical PU prevention  Role play  Outline of procedure when wound identified  Complex case study to problem solve |
| What was the main thing learned through the programme | How we could break down barriers to work collaboratively  1 team not 3 distinct |
| Does the programme meet your needs? | Theory – collaboration as it is a research project.  Would rather more practical |
| Was the content new to you? | New to some  Tips were good as able to put into practice |

**How effective was the programme?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Was the programme effective in encouraging you to work more collaboratively for PU prevention? | Yes, will try to break barriers  Therapy work across teams |
| Did the programme increase your awareness of different professional roles in PU prevention? | Yes, learnt about the CAT team  Prevention okay  Treatment will collaborate – Need training in basic essential kit |
| If you were not part of an integrated team, would the programme encourage you to work more collaboratively? | Yes, but time and logistics prevent us |
| **Any further comments** | Need team building exercises  Enjoyable, informative, edible  Homemade cookies a nice touch |